Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claims 1-7 (canceled)

Claim 8 (currently amended): An apparatus for controlling traffic over a network, comprising:

a switching processor, including a plurality of ports connectable to a network line and packet counter registers for storing counting information on packets ingressed and egressed through <u>each of the ports of</u> said plurality of ports and for controlling ingress and egress packet traffic volume for each of <u>said plurality of the ports</u> in response to an input traffic control command; and

a controller for registering traffic volume for each of said plurality of the ports in an internal register, said traffic volume being entered by a user through a data input unit as a user value, and for comparing the user value for each of said plurality of ports with a value in a respective one of said packet counter registers for said each port so as to output said input traffic control command for said each port to said switching processor.

Claim 9 (previously presented): The apparatus according to claim 8, wherein said input traffic control command is a control command that enables said packets ingressed or egressed through said each port to be queued, dropped, or paused.

Claim 10 (currently amended): An apparatus for controlling traffic over a network, comprising:

a switching processor, including a plurality of ports connectable to a network line and a—packet counter register registers for storing counting information on packets ingressed and/or egressed through each of the ports of said plurality of ports and for controlling ingress and/or egress packet traffic volume for each of the said plurality of ports in response to an input traffic control command; and

a controller for registering traffic volume for said plurality

of—each of the ports in an internal register, said traffic

volume being entered by a user through a data input unit as a

user value, and for comparing the user value for said

plurality of—each of the ports with a value in a respective

one of said packet counter registers for said plurality of

each of the ports so as to output said input traffic control

Applic. No. 10/552,882 Response Dated July 26, 2010 Responsive to Office Action of May 26, 2010

command for said plurality of ports to said switching processor.

Claim 11 (previously presented): The apparatus according to claim 10, wherein said ingress and/or egress traffic volume is controlled via a token bucket, which is shared between the ports of said plurality of ports.

Claim 12 (previously presented): The apparatus according to claim 10, wherein said input traffic control command is a control command that enables said packets ingressed or egressed through said each port to be queued, dropped, or paused.

Claim 13 (previously presented): The apparatus according to claim 11, wherein said input traffic control command is a control command that enables said packets ingressed or egressed through said each port to be queued, dropped, or paused.

Claim 14 (currently amended): A method for controlling a traffic volume ingressed or egressed via a port or a plurality of ports of a switching processor[[,]]:

Applic. No. 10/552,882 Response Dated July 26, 2010 Responsive to Office Action of May 26, 2010

entering a user value, by a user through a data input unit, for a maximum traffic volume;

comparing said user value with a respective value for said traffic volume, said respective value being written in a packet counter register; and

issuing a traffic control command to said switching processor.

Claim 15 (previously presented): The method according to claim 14, wherein said traffic volume relates to a plurality of ports and said traffic volume is controlled via a token bucket, which is shared between the ports of said plurality of ports.

Claim 16 (previously presented): The method according to claim 14, wherein a packet is dropped by setting the frame size parameter smaller than the minimum Ethernet frame size.

Claim 17 (previously presented): The method according to claim 15, wherein a packet is dropped by setting the frame size parameter smaller than the minimum Ethernet frame size.